

## **IFTA CAC MEETING – 1/10/2008**

Participants: Debbie Meise, Lonette Turner, Jason DeGraf, Bill Kron, Garry Hinkley, Scott Miller, Pat Platt, Randy Boone, Gary Frohlick, Debbie Stuart

Last conference call – 10/4/2007

### **Old Business:**

Surcharge – Everyone has been notified, but not everyone has corrected yet. ACS is working on it. IN is currently has ACS as a vendor. They are about 6 months out from implementing a new system. Distance and mileage report will give all jurisdictions that are in compliance with surcharges. Bill is working with ACS to get surcharges corrected.

Iowa has joined the Clearinghouse. Membership is now up to 48 jurisdictions.

Jason has opened up a new server for Law Enforcement. This server contains only the revoked carriers and is for enforcement use only. Maryland is working with Jason to test the server. Information provided will be ID, Name, DBA, Contact Info, and Jurisdictions Carrier Contact telephone number, and will look like the Demographics page on the Clearinghouse. Jason is still working with NLETS for NLETS to hit the server for revoked information. Next IFTA News will contain a blurb about the availability of the server for law enforcement. The server will be available for CVIEW. The database will be updated hourly, and will only be as good as the information remitted to the Clearinghouse. Will this be for Clearinghouse members only, or for all jurisdictions to access? This is for Clearinghouse member jurisdiction's use only. Some committee members think it should be available for all to access. If South Carolina law enforcement stops a North Carolina carrier, shouldn't they be able to access the information? It goes against the Access Agreement if non-participating members to access Clearinghouse information. Possibly give to the Board to address. We need to look at the Access Agreement – this may be in violation. The data is coming from the Clearinghouse. We may have to come up with something to allow NLETS to access Clearinghouse information. We need to get law enforcement involved.

There will not be full NLETS access until there is a separate agreement. Jurisdictions need to contact they own law enforcement agencies for front end access.

## **New Business:**

Funds Netting – There are no major objections by the RPC or Maine. There were comments at Annual Meeting about the variable nature of the Funds Transfers Due by dates. This depends if there is a weekend involved in the calculation of dates. The only solution is to move the Jurisdictions Upload to CH dates back. Bill is concerned about moving into the second month for distributions because of the wording in P1040 – there are 53 out of 58 jurisdictions are currently out of compliance. Then there is R960 – Funds received by postmark date. Almost nobody is making it under the current system. Gary sees this as a selling point. Any jurisdiction that is owed money would get their money a month earlier, because jurisdictions have until the last day of the month following the month in which the transmittal was received.

Article 21 – Clearinghouse – will need to have funds netting added to it. There will have to be a ballot for Funds Netting.

Clearinghouse members need to be convinced this works. Selling points – more time to process returns. If you are owed money, you get it much quicker. Don't have to move such large sums, you only have to fund netted amount.

Can we test the calendar without risking being out of compliance? Ask the Board or Audit Committee if anyone testing would be granted forgiveness for not being in compliance. We can discuss it, but it probably will not happen. States could voluntarily go out of compliance, get written up but no action taken by IFTA Inc. There was a case where Ohio did this. Language needs to be drafted for this calendar. Lonette has already done this and will forward to Committee Members to review. She does not want to put ballot language out there without testing if it works first. Jurisdictions risk being out of compliance if they follow the calendar as is. Jurisdictions that are testing will not actually send money. Jurisdictions need in-house processing done by a certain date and have the Clearinghouse file uploaded. By moving dates forward there is more time to process returns.

Most jurisdictions will need to send a day or two earlier than they do now. Testing will require jurisdictions to make some changes. There won't be any jurisdictions that won't have to make some kind of change to their processing systems.

Volunteers to test the system – Kansas, Saskatchewan, Louisiana, Indiana. Bill and Randy will work together with ACS to do the testing. This gives a good test for small and large carrier base. Saskatchewan has corrected past issues concerning conversion rates and is not populating the extra fields.

Lonette will get together a test plan. Only the data side will be tested now. We need to make sure the data side is working before the banking portion is tested.

The Ballot deadline for new ballots is March 24, 2008.

Next conference call scheduled for February 7, 2008 @ 11:00 est.

